Experimental and numerical investigations to compare the thermal performance of infrared reflecting insulation and mineral wool

Matthias Kersken, Almuth Schade

9th Nordic Symposium on Building Physics, Tampere, 29 May – 2 June 2011

Auf Wissen bauen





Insulation Materials

Mineral Wool (MW)



Infrared - Reflective Insulation (RI)



R = 5.7 m²K/W

thermal resistance mineral wool

$$R^* = 5.7 m^2 K/W$$





Experimental Buildings



view from south west





Mineral Wool Roof System 1







Mineral Wool Roof System 2









© Fraunhofer IBP

Mineral Wool Roof System 3







Different IR-reflecitve Insulation Roof Systems

RI 1





RI 2









Examination Winter 2007 / 2008

3 measurement periods comparing different roof systems





Examination Winter 2008 / 2009



1. $n_{50} < 1 h^{-1}$ high air tightness

- **2.** $n_{50} \approx 3 h^{-1}$ design limit acc. EnEV (german energy saving regulations) for natural ventilated buildings
- **3.** $n_{50} \approx 10 \text{ h}^{-1}$ not air tight



Winter Investigations



es



Energy Balance (winter): heat sources





Energy Balance (winter): heat losses



heat loss through the roof : \rightarrow

energy consumption (radiators)

- transmission heat losses of envelopes (other than roof)
- infiltration heat losses



Energy balance winter 2007 / 2008

Winter 2007/2008 Energy balance of the 3 measurement periods	11.12.2007 (41 days	–21.1.2008 – 984 h)	19.2.2008–6.3.2008 (17 days – 408 h)		22.3.2008–30.4.2008 (17 days – 408 h)	
Climatic External boundary temperature conditions Global radiation (mean values) Wind speed	-2.0 °C 46 W/m² 2.1 m/s		-4.9 °C 108 W/m² 3.9 m/s		-5.4 °C 162 W/m² 3.0 m/s	
		0 0		0 0 0		6 6 7
	MW 1	RI 1	MW 2	RI 1	MW 3	RI 1
Heat losses envelope areas besides the roof (calculated by measured heat flows)	172 kWh	184 kWh	47 kWh	57 kWh	108 kWh	121 kWh
Infiltration heat losses (acc. EN 832 [2] determined by tracer gas measurement)	33 kWh	45 kWh	33 kWh	17 kWh	50 kWh	23 kWh
Energy concurrentian (managered)	617 kWh	1264 kWh	195 kWh	369 kWh	439 kWh	806 kWh
Energy consumption (measured)	100 %	205 %	100 %	189 %	100 %	184 %
Heat losses through the roof	412 kWh	1035 kWh	115 kWh	295 kWh	281 kWh	662 kWh
(determined by energy balance)	100 %	251 %	100 %	257 %	100 %	236 %



Energy balance winter 2007 / 2008

Winter 2007/2008 Energy balance of the 3 measurement periods	11.12.2007 (41 days	–21.1.2008 – 984 h)	008 19.2.2008–6.3.2008 h) (17 days – 408 h)		22.3.2008–30.4.2008 (17 days – 408 h)	
Climatic External boundary temperature conditions Global radiation (mean values) Wind speed	-2.0 °C 46 W/m² 2.1 m/s		-4.9 °C 108 W/m² 3.9 m/s		-5.4 °C 162 W/m² 3.0 m/s	
		0 0 1		0 0		
	MW 1	RI 1	MW 2	RI 1	MW 3	RI 1
Heat losses envelope areas besides the roof (calculated by measured heat flows)	172 kWh	184 kWh	47 kWh	57 kWh	108 kWh	121 kWh
Infiltration heat losses (acc. EN 832 [2] determined by tracer gas measurement)	33 kWh	45 kWh	33 kWh	17 kWh	50 kWh	23 kWh
Energy concumption (managered)	617 kWh	1264 kWh	195 kWh	369 kWh	439 kWh	806 kWh
Energy consumption (measured)	100 %	205 %	100 %	189 %	100 %	184 %
Heat losses through the roof	412 kWh	1035 kWh	115 kWh	295 kWh	281 kWh	662 kWh
(determined by energy balance)	100 %	251 %	100 %	257 %	100 %	236 %



Energy balance winter 2008 / 2009

Winter 2008/2009 Energy balance of the 3 measurement periods	n₅₀ ≃ 0.7 h⁻¹ 48 days – 1152 h		n₅₀ ≃ 3 h⁻¹ 36 days – 864 h		n₅₀ ≃ 10 h⁻¹ 44 days – 1056 h	
Climatic boundary conditions (mean values) External temperature Global radiation Wind speed	-2.2 °C 44 W/m² 2.1 m/s		-1.0 °C 84 W/m² 3.6 m/s		-8.6 °C 199 W/m² 2.8 m/s	
MW 3 RI 2	MW 3	RI 2	MW 3	RI 2	MW 3	RI 2
Heat losses envelope areas besides the roof (calculated by measured heat flows)	200 kWh	205 kWh	160 kWh	138 kWh	82 kWh	70 kWh
Infiltration heat losses (acc. EN 832 [2] determined by tracer gas measurement)	116 kWh	128 kWh	174 kWh	146 kWh	large fluctuations due to very low air tightness	
Energy consumption (massured)	790 kWh	1787 kWh	642 kWh	1336 kWh	426 kWh	852 kWh
Energy consumption (measured)	100 %	226 %	100 %	208 %	100 %	200 %
Heat losses through the roof (determined by energy balance	474 kWh	1454 kWh	308 kWh	1052 kWh	205 kWh	687 kWh
respectively for $n_{50} \simeq 10 h^{-1}$ determined by measured heat flow)	100 %	307 %	100 %	342 %	100 %	335 %



Energy balance winter 2008 / 2009

Winter 2008/2009 Energy balance of the 3 measurement periods	n₅₀ ≃ 0.7 h⁻¹ 48 days – 1152 h		n₅₀ ≃ 3 h⁻¹ 36 days – 864 h		n₅₀ ≃ 10 h⁻¹ 44 days – 1056 h	
Climatic boundary conditions (mean values) External temperature Global radiation Wind speed	-2.2 °C 44 W/m² 2.1 m/s		-1.0 °C 84 W/m² 3.6 m/s		-8.6 °C 199 W/m² 2.8 m/s	
MW 3 RI 2	MW 3	RI 2	MW 3	RI 2	MW 3	RI 2
Heat losses envelope areas besides the roof (calculated by measured heat flows)	200 kWh	205 kWh	160 kWh	138 kWh	82 kWh	70 kWh
Infiltration heat losses (acc. EN 832 [2] determined by tracer gas measurement)	116 kWh	128 kWh	174 kWh	146 kWh	large fluctuations due to very low air tightness	
	790 kWh	1787 kWh	642 kWh	1336 kWh	426 kWh	852 kWh
Energy consumption (measured)	100 %	226 %	100 %	208 %	100 %	200 %
Heat losses through the roof (determined by energy balance	474 kWh	1454 kWh	308 kWh	1052 kWh	205 kWh	687 kWh
respectively for $n_{50} \simeq 10 h^{-1}$ determined by measured heat flow)	100 %	307 %	100 %	342 %	100 %	335 %



Thermal Resistance (R-Value)

material	mineral wool MW	infrared reflecting insulation RI	
thermal resistance R [m²K/W]	5.69	1.00	
method	hot plate method installation: horizontal	hotbox method installation: vertical	Laboratory Values
emissivity [-]	not determined	0.05	

		mineral wool MW	IR-reflecting insulation RI
roof system			
thermal resistance R [m²K/W]	acc. ISO 6946 using laboratory values	6,0	2,1



Thermal Resistance (R-Value)





Thermal Resistance (R-Value)

material	mineral wool MW	infrared reflecting insulation RI	
thermal resistance R [m²K/W]	5.69	1.00	
method	hot plate method installation: horizontal	hotbox method installation: vertical	Laboratory Values
emissivity [-]	not determined	0.05	

roof system		mineral wool MW	IR-reflecting insulation RI
thermal resistance R [m²K/W]	acc. ISO 6946 using laboratory values	6,0	2,1
in-situ thermal resistance R _{insitu} [m²K/W]	determined by measurement data	6,4	2,0



Examination Summer 2008



Summer tests varying the following parameters:

- with or without cooling
- with or without ventilation
- simulated window
- internal heat gains



Summer 2008

investigation with internal heat gains, without cooling or ventilation:





Summer 2008

evaluation by means of the total energy consumption is not reasonable

→ dynamic calculations



© Fraunhofer IBP

Dynamic Calculations: Validation

measurment peroid		winter 1	winter 2	summer 1	summer 2
date		11.12.2007 19.1.2008	22.3.2008 30.4.2008	10.6.2008 20.6.2008	21.6.2008 25.6.2008
days	[d]	39	29	10	5



Dynamic Calculations: Validation

measurment peroid		winter 1	winter 2	summer 1	summer 2
date		11.12.2007 19.1.2008	22.3.2008 	10.6.2008 20.6.2008	21.6.2008 25.6.2008
days	[d]	39	29	10	5
parameter for comparision measurement and simate	on of ion	heat cons	sumption	room ten	nperature
difference MW attic	[%]	8.4	5.9	room temperature simulation in the range of all 5 measured value of room temperature (1)	
difference RI attic	[%]	1.9	4.1		





Dynamic Calculations: different boundary conditions

- climate data of different places
- infiltration
- internal heat gains
- orientation
- fenestration
- ventilation
- cooling



Summary and conclusions

- heat losses trough the RI-roof are more than twice as much than through the MW-roof
- high radiation reduces the energy consumption more in the RI-attic than in the MW-attic
 - \rightarrow the thermal resistance of examined IR-reflective insulation is much lower than the thermal resistance of 18 to 20 cm mineral wool
- reducing the air tightness of both attics to the same, lower level does not change the ratio of energy conumption between both attics considerably during a whole measurement period



Summary and conclusions

high wind impact may decrease the thermal resistance of mineral wool

- \rightarrow air tight installation of mineral wool to avoid air flow through insulation is recommended
- in situ measurement is influenced by prevailing weather conditions and other boundary conditions
 - \rightarrow thermal parameters should not be determined by in situ testing only

in situ thermal resistance confirm thermal resistance determined by common laboratory testing

 \rightarrow common laboratory testing is also valid for IR reflective insulation

